**IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM**

**PRESENT :**

**THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE K.BALAKRISHNAN NAIR**
**&**
**THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE T.R.RAMACHANDRAN NAIR**

**MONDAY, THE 29TH OCTOBER 2007 / 7TH KARTHIKA 1929**

**WP(C).No. 25510 of 2007(J)**
**----------**

**PETITIONER:**
**----------**

**N.BABU, ELLIKKATTU HOUSE,**
**EDAPPALLY, KOCHI - 682 026.**

**BY ADV. SRI.S.KRISHNAMOORTHY**

**RESPONDENTS:**
**----------**

**1. THE COMMISSIONER OF POLICE, KOCHI.**

**2. ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER OF POLICE,**
**CENTRAL POLICE STATION, ERNAKULAM.**

**3. INSPECTOR OF POLICE,**
**PALARIVATTOM POLICE STATION, PALARIVATTORM, KOCHI.**

**4. LISSY K.VARGHESE, KOCHUKUDIYIL HOUSE,**
**TRIKALATHUR P.O., KEEZHILLOM, MUVATTUPUZHA,**
**NOW RESIDING AT H.NO.C5, TRAVANCORE RESIDENCY,**
**MANGATHU ROAD, EDAPPALLY, ERNAKULAM.**

**5. RENOY RAPHEL,**
**HUSBAND OF LISSY K.VARGHESE, NO.C5, TRAVANCORE**
**RESIDENCY, MANGATHU ROAD, EDAPPALLY, ERNAKULAM.**

**BY GOVERNMENT PLEADER SRI. P.A.SALIM**

**THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD ON 29/10/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:**

**WP(C) NO: 25510/2007**

**APPENDIX**

**PETITIONER'S EXHIBITS**

**EXT.P1. COPY OF THE SALE AGREEMENT GIVEN IN FAVOUR OF THE PETITIONER BY THE R4 DT. 27/10/06.**

**EXT.P2. COPY OF THE FIRST INFORMATION REPORT DT. 30/5/07.**

**EXT.P3. COPY OF THE JUDGMENT DT. 10/07/07 OF THIS COURT.**

**EXT.P4. COPY OF THE COMPLAINT DT. 16/8/07 BY THE PETITIONER BY R1.**

**RESPONDENT'S EXHIBITS**

**NIL.**

*True copy*

*tga*

**K.BALAKRISHNAN NAIR & T.R.RAMACHANDRAN NAIR, JJ.**

---

Writ Petition (C) No.25510 of 2007-J

---

Judgment

Balakrishnan Nair, J.

The petitioner has approached this Court, alleging that the 3rd respondent Police Officer is harassing him at the instance of respondents 4 and 5. The petitioner is the owner of a building which is rented out to the 4th respondent for running a gymnasium. Earlier, both parties approached this Court claiming police protection for their life. Those Writ Petitions were disposed of by Ext.P3 common judgment. The civil dispute between the petitioner and the 4th respondent regarding the leasing out of the premises to the said respondent is pending before the civil court. While so, it is submitted that the third respondent is harassing him at the instance of the 4th respondent. Therefore, he has preferred Ext.P4 representation before the Commissioner of Police and thereafter, this Writ Petition is filed, seeking appropriate direction to the Police not to harass him. Other reliefs are also sought. The learned Government Pleader appearing for the respondents submitted that the allegations of the petitioner against the Police are unfounded. They are not

harassing him and they have no plans to harass him also. This submission is recorded and the Writ Petition is disposed of. The other prayers of the petitioner are kept open.

**K.BALAKRISHNAN NAIR,JUDGE**

29.10.2007 **T.R.RAMACHANDRAN NAIR, JUDGE**

sta